

# THE DEWEESE REPORT

WWW.FREEDOM21.COM

## TEA Parties and “End the Fed” Protests Cannot Win Back the Republic Without this Information!

*Many Americans appear to be awakening from their slumber of apathy as government forces are making their move for total control of our lives. Massive TEA Party protests on April 15<sup>th</sup>, followed by more than 1000 again on Independence Day, show a growing movement of concerned, dedicated Americans. But there is a major component missing from those protests. There is a nearly universal lack of understanding of the issue of Sustainable Development and the dangers it poses to our liberty. Consequently, that issue is being left out of the protests.*

*Meanwhile, as thousands attend the TEA Parties and protest the Federal Reserve, taxes, and out of control federal government, inside their local city halls, international forces are busy turning the communities into little soviet.*

*I have been traveling the nation over the past few months sounding the alarm that we cannot win this battle to restore our Republic if we don't understand that what we face is not a bunch of random issues – but a complete agenda of control – Sustainable Development. Cap N Trade, global warming, population control, gun control, open borders and illegal immigration, higher taxes, higher gas prices, refusal to drill American oil, education restructuring, international IDs, natural health supplement control, food control, farming “reform,” control of private property, NAIS and UN Global Governance are all part of the Sustainable Development/Agenda 21 blueprint.*

*To that end, I am focusing this entire issue on Sustainable Development to give activists all the ammunition they need to fight back. I am also including a list of more than 500 cities that are currently enacting Sustainable Development policies. If this is happening in your town (and it is), I urge you to challenge your local city council and mayor to stop these policies. The battles now must be fought on the local level. Remove Sustainable Development from every community and policies out of the federal government will be neutralized. And only then can we be on our way to restoring the American Republic. ----- Tom DeWeese*

## Sustainable Development: The Root of All Our Problems

*By Tom DeWeese*

In his book, *Earth in the Balance*, Al Gore warned that a “wrenching transformation” must take place to

lead America away from the “horrors of the Industrial Revolution.” The process to do that is called Sustainable Development and it's roots can be traced back to a UN policy document called Agenda 21, adopted at the UN's Earth Summit in 1992.

nothing short of central planning of the entire economy – often referred to as top-down control. Truly, Sustainable Development is designed to change our way of life.

In short, it's all about wealth redistribution. Your wealth into a green rat hole.

During the Cold War, communists tried to get us to surrender our liberties and way of life for the wisdom of Karl Marx. Americans didn't buy it.

### IN THIS ISSUE:

#### 5. ABSOLUTES:

ICLEI Cities

#### 8. The Four Part Process Leading to Sustainable Development

#### 10. INSIDERS REPORT:

Government Control Goes That Extra Mile

#### 12. SPOTLIGHT ON TYRANNY:

Conservation Easements are a Sustainable Development Land Grab

Sustainable Development calls for changing the very infrastructure of the nation, away from private ownership and control of property to

But now, they have taken the same clap trap and wrapped it all in a nice green blanket, scaring us with horror stories about the human destruction of the environment – and so we are now throwing our liberties on the bonfire like a good old fashioned book burning -- all in the name of protecting the planet.

It sounds so friendly. So meaningful. So urgent. But, the devastation to our liberty and way of life is the same as if Lenin ordered it.

We now have a new language invading our government at all levels. Old words with new meanings fill government policy papers. The typical city council meeting discusses “community development,” “historic preservation,” and “partnerships” between the city and private business.

Civic leaders organize community meetings run by “facilitators,” as they outline a “vision” for the town, enforced by “consensus.” No need for debate when you have consensus! People of great importance testify before congressional committees of the dire need for “social justice.”

Free trade, social justice, consensus, global truth, partnerships, preservation, stakeholders, land use, environmental protection, development, diversity, visioning, open space, heritage, comprehensive planning, critical thinking, and community service are all part of our new language.

What are they really talking about? What mental pictures come to mind when those words are used? George Orwell realized that those who control language and manipulate key phrases can control policy.

The language is being changed and manipulated to quietly implement a very destructive policy. Whenever you see or hear these words, know that, in every case, they are defining one thing - the implementation of Sustainable Development.

Rather than good management of resources, Sustainable Development has come to mean denied use and resources

locked away from human hands. In short, it has become a code word for an entire economic and social agenda.

I have spent most of the past 12 years studying every facet of this new political agenda which is fast becoming a revolution -- touching every aspect of our businesses, our public education system, our private property, our families and our individual lives.

Interestingly, it is not a Republican or Democrat issue. It's not Liberal or Conservative. It is being implemented on a purely bipartisan basis. It is now the official policy of the United States, put in force by literally every department of the government. It is the official policy of every state government, and nearly every city, town and county in the nation.

But, I warn you, accepting the perception that Sustainable Development is simply good environmental stewardship is a serious and dangerous mistake.

So what is Sustainable Development? The Sustainablits insist that society be transformed into feudal-like governance by making *nature* the central organizing principle for our economy and society.

To achieve this, Sustainablit policy focuses on three components; global land use, global education, and global population control.

Keep in mind that America is the only country in the world based on the ideals of private property. But, private property is incompatible with the collectivist premise of Sustainable Development.

If you doubt that, then consider this quote from the report of the 1976 UN's Habitat I conference which said: *“Land ...cannot be treated as an ordinary asset, controlled by individuals and subject to the pressures and inefficiencies of the market. Private land ownership is also a principle instrument of accumulation and concentration of wealth, therefore, contributes to social injustice.”*

## THE DEWEESE REPORT

Vol. 15, No. 8 August 2009

Published by  
Freedom21 Communications, LLC

Editor  
Tom DeWeese

Copy Editor  
Virginia DeWeese

Correspondence/Fulfillment  
Sascha McGuckin  
Carolyn DeWeese

Graphics/Layout  
Kristy Hook

The DeWeese Report  
70 Main Street, Suite 23  
Warrenton, VA 20186

Phone: (540) 341-8910  
Fax: (540) 341-8916

Web Page:  
[www.freedom21.com](http://www.freedom21.com)

© 2009 Freedom21  
Communications, LLC  
ISSN 1086-7937  
All Rights Reserved

Permission to photocopy, reprint and quote articles from The DeWeese Report is hereby granted, provided full acknowledgment is included. All reprinted articles must say: “Written by Tom DeWeese, editor of The DeWeese Report (unless another author is listed). All reprints must carry The DeWeese Report address and phone number. Samples of the reprint must be provided to The DeWeese Report.

According to the Sustainablist doctrine, it is a social injustice for some to have prosperity if others do not. It is a social injustice to keep our borders closed. It is a social injustice for some to be bosses and others to be merely workers.

Social justice is a major premise of Sustainable Development. Another word for social justice, by the way, is Socialism. Karl Marx was the first to coin the phrase “social justice.”

Some officials try to pretend that Sustainable Development is just a local effort to protect the environment -- just your local leaders putting together a local vision for the community. Then ask your local officials how it is possible that the exact language and tactics for implementation of Sustainable Development are being used in nearly every city around the globe from Lewiston, Maine to Singapore. Local indeed.

Sustainable Development is the process by which America is being reorganized around a central principle of state collectivism using the environment as bait.


The best way to understand what Sustainable Development actually is can be found by discovering what is NOT sustainable.

According to the UN's Biodiversity Assessment Report, items for our everyday lives that are NOT sustainable include: *Ski runs, grazing of livestock, plowing of soil, building fences, industry, single family homes, paved and tarred roads, logging activities, dams and reservoirs, power line construction, and economic systems that fail to set proper value on the environment (capitalism, free markets).*

Maurice Strong, Secretary General of the UN's Rio Earth Summit in 1992 said, “... Current

*lifestyles and consumption patterns of the affluent middle class – involving high meat intake, use of fossil fuels, appliances, home and work air-conditioning, and suburban housing are not sustainable.”*

Are you starting to see the pattern behind Cap N Trade, the Clean Air Act, the Clean Water Act, and all of those commercials you're forced to watch about the righteousness of “Going Green?” They are all part of the enforcement of Sustainable Development.



And one of the most destructive tools they use to force it on us is something called the “precautionary principle.” That means that any activities that *might* threaten human health or the environment should be stopped -- even if no clear cause and effect relationship has been established – and even if the potential threat is largely theoretical.

That makes it easy for any activist group to issue warnings by news release or questionable report and have those warnings quickly turned into public policy – just in case.

Many are now finding non-elected regional governments and governing councils enforcing policy and regulations. As these policies are implemented, locally-elected officials are actually losing power and decision-making ability in their own communities. Most decisions are now

being made behind the scenes in non-elected “sustainability councils” armed with truckloads of federal regulations, guidelines, and grant money.

### THE THREE ES

According to its authors, the objective of Sustainable Development is to integrate economic, social, and environmental policies in order to achieve reduced consumption, social equity, and the preservation and restoration of biodiversity.

The Sustainablists insist that society be transformed into feudal-like governance by making *Nature* the central organizing principle for our economy and society. As such, every societal decision would first be questioned as to how it might effect the environment. To achieve this, Sustainablist policy focuses on three components; land use, education, and population control/reduction.

The Sustainable Development logo used in most literature on the subject contains three connecting circles labeled **Social Equity; Economic Prosperity; and Ecological Integrity** (known commonly as the 3 Es).

### SOCIAL EQUITY

As stated, Sustainable Development's Social Equity plank is based on a demand for “social justice.” Today, the phrase is used throughout Sustainablist literature. The Sustainablist system is based on the principle that individuals must give up selfish wants for the needs of the common good, or the “community.” How does this differ from Communism?

This is the same policy behind the push to eliminate our nation's borders to allow the “migration” of those from other nations into the United States to share our individually-created wealth and our taxpayers-paid government social programs. Say the Sustainablists,

“Justice and efficiency go hand in hand.”  
“Borders,” they say, “are unjust.”

Under the Sustainablist system, private property is an evil that is used simply to create wealth for a few. So too, is business ownership. Instead, “every worker/person will be a direct capital owner.” Property and businesses are to be kept in the name of the owner, keeping them responsible for taxes and other expenses, however control is in the hands of the “community.” That policy is right out of the Socialist handbook.

### ECONOMIC PROSPERITY

Sustainable Development’s economic policy is based on one overriding premise: that the wealth of the world was made at the expense of the poor. It dictates that, if the conditions of the poor are to be improved, wealth must first be taken from the rich. Consequently, Sustainable Development’s economic policy is based not on private enterprise but on public/private partnerships.

In order to give themselves an advantage over competition, some businesses -- particularly large corporations -- now find a great advantage in dealing directly with government, actively lobbying for legislation that will inundate smaller companies with regulations that they cannot possibly comply with or even keep up with. This government/big corporation back-scratching has always been a dangerous practice because economic power should be a positive check on government power, and *vice versa*. If the two should ever become combined, control of such massive power can lead only to tyranny. One of the best examples of this was the Italian model in the first half of the Twentieth Century under Mussolini’s Fascism.

Together, select business leaders who have agreed to help government

impose Sustainablist green positions in their business policies, and officials at all levels of government are indeed merging the power of the economy with the force of government in Public/Private Partnerships on the local, state and federal levels.

As a result, Sustainable Development policy is redefining free trade to mean centralized global trade “freely” crossing (or eliminating) national borders. It definitely does not mean people and companies trading freely with each other. Its real effect is to redistribute American manufacturing, wealth, and jobs out of our borders and to lock away American natural resources. After the regulations have been put in place, literally destroying whole industries, new “green” industries created with federal grants bring newfound wealth to the “partners.” This is what Sustainablists refer to as economic prosperity.

### ECOLOGICAL INTEGRITY

*“Nature has an integral set of different values (cultural, spiritual and material) where humans are one strand in nature’s web and all living creatures are considered equal. Therefore the natural way is the right way and human activities should be molded along nature’s rhythms.”* from the UN’s Biodiversity Treaty presented at the 1992 UN Earth Summit.

This quote lays down the ground rules for the entire Sustainable Development agenda. It says humans are nothing special – just one strand in the nature of things or, put another way, humans are simply biological resources. Sustainablist policy is to oversee any issue in which man interacts with nature - which, of course, is literally everything. And because the environment always comes first, there must be great restrictions over private property

ownership and control. This is necessary, Sustainablists say, because humans only defile nature.

Under Sustainable Development there can be no concern over individual rights – as we must all sacrifice for the sake of the environment. Individual human wants, needs, and desires are to be conformed to the views and dictates of social planners. The UN’s Commission on Global Governance said in its 1995 report: *“Human activity...combined with unprecedented increases in human numbers... are impinging on the planet’s basic life support system. Action must be taken now to control the human activities that produce these risks”*

Under Sustainable Development there can be no limited government, as advocated by our Founding Fathers, because, we are told, the real or perceived environmental crisis is too great. Maurice Strong, Chairman of the 1992 UN Earth Summit said: *“A shift is necessary toward lifestyles less geared to environmentally-damaging consumption patterns. The shift will require a vast strengthening of the multilateral system, including the United Nations.”*

The politically based environmental movement provides Sustainablists camouflage as they work to transform the American systems of government, justice, and economics. It is a masterful mixture of socialism (with its top down control of the tools of the economy) and fascism (where property is owned in name only – with no control). Sustainable Development is the worst of both the left and the right. It is not liberal, nor is it conservative. It is a new kind of tyranny that, if not stopped, will surely lead us to a new Dark Ages of pain and misery yet unknown to mankind.

# ABSOLUTES.....!

## **Remove ICLEI - Restore the Republic!**

*By Tom DeWeese*

Many Americans ask how dangerous international policies can suddenly turn up in state and local government, all seemingly uniform to those in communities across the nation and around the globe.

The answer – meet ICLEI, a non-profit, private foundation, dedicated to helping your mayor implement all of his promises. Originally known as the International Council for Local Environmental Initiatives (ICLEI), today the group simply calls itself “*ICLEI – Local Governments for Sustainability.*”

In 1992, ICLEI was one of the groups instrumental in creating Agenda 21. The group’s mission is to push local communities to regulate the environment – and it’s having tremendous success.

I have included a list of 544 American cities in which ICLEI is being paid with tax dollars from city councils to implement and enforce Sustainable Development. ICLEI is there to assure that the mayors keep their promises and meet their goals. Climate change, of course, is the ICLEI mantra.

Here’s just some of the programs ICLEI provides cities and towns, in order to spread their own particular political agenda in the name of “community services” and environmental protection, they include:

- ***Software programs*** to help set the goals for community development – which leads to taking control of your private property;
- ***Access to a network of “Green” experts, newsletters, conferences and workshops*** – to assure the indoctrination of city employees is complete;
- ***Toolkits, online resources, case studies, fact sheets, policy and practice manuals, and blueprints*** used by other communities – so you know you are not alone;
- ***Training workshops for staff and elected officials*** on how to develop and implement the programs -- wanna bet they never seem to mention that thousands of scientists around the world now say man-made global warming is a myth and none of these programs are necessary? Probably doesn’t make it into the workshop;
- And, of course, there’s ***Notification of relevant grant opportunities*** – this is the important one – money – with severe strings attached.

ICLEI recommends that the community hire a full time “sustainability manager,” who, even in small towns, can devote 100% of his time to assure that every nook and corner of the government is on message and under control.

As I said, it’s not about protecting the environment; it’s about reinventing government with a specific political agenda. ICLEI and others are dedicated to controlling your locally elected public officials to quietly implement an all encompassing tyranny over every community in the nation.

Like a disease, ICLEI (or others of its kind) is entrenched in most American cities, dictating policy to your locally elected officials, controlling policy and making sure they do not listen to your protests. To truly see tyranny in action, one only needs to go to a city council meeting and attempt to ask questions or discuss a sustainable development program. Most who have tried it have been literally physically removed from the chamber.

It’s time to remove ICLEI. Look at this list of communities where they currently hide behind the curtain -- and take action to remove them. Now, before your community is run like a soviet, where there is no debate, no regard for constitutionally-guaranteed rights, no property rights, no free markets, no alternative thought. Through ICLEI there is only top-down control – just like a soviet. Remove ICLEI - Restore the Republic! (*ICLEI Cont’d on page 6*)

# ABSOLUTES.....!

## ICLEI Cities Across the Country

Anchorage, AK	Gardena, CA	Pleasanton, CA	West Sacramento, CA	Venice, FL	Ayer, MA	Rockville, MD
Fairbanks, AK	Gilroy, CA	Point Arena, CA	Willits, CA	West Palm Beach, FL	Belmont, MA	Takoma Park, MD
Homer, AK	Half Moon Bay, CA	Portola Valley, CA	Windsor, CA	Atlanta, GA	Boston, MA	Bath, ME
Juneau, AK	Hawthorne, CA	Rancho Palos Verdes, CA	Woodside, CA	Chatham County, GA	Cambridge, MA	Belfast, ME
Kodiak, AK	Hayward, CA	Redondo Beach, CA	Yountville, CA	Decatur, GA	Carver, MA	Biddeford, ME
Sitka, AK	Healdsburg, CA	Redwood, CA	Arvada, CO	Fulton County, GA	Dedham, MA	Brunswick, ME
Calhoun, AL	Hercules, CA	Richmond, CA	Aspen, CO	Savannah, GA	Devens, MA	Cumberland, ME
Fayetteville, AR	Hermosa Beach, CA	Riverside, CA	Boulder, CO	Hawaii County, HI	Greenfield, MA	Falmouth, ME
North Little Rock, AR	Hillsborough, CA	Rohnert Park, CA	Breckenridge, CO	Cedar Falls, IA	Hingham, MA	Portland, ME
Buckeye, AZ	Humboldt, CA	Rolling Hills Estates, CA	Carbondale, CO	Decorah, IA	Ipswich, MA	Topsham, ME
Chandler, AZ	Irvine, CA	Ross, CA	Denver, CO	Des Moines, IA	Kingston, MA	Waterville, ME
Flagstaff, AZ	Lafayette, CA	Sacramento County, CA	Durango, CO	Dubuque, IA	Lexington, MA	York, ME
Goodyear, AZ	Lakewood, CA	Sacramento, CA	Frisco, CO	Iowa City, IA	Lincoln, MA	Ann Arbor &
Phoenix, AZ	Larkspur, CA	Saint Helena, CA	Golden, CO	Johnson County, IA	Lowell, MA	Washtenaw County, MI
Alameda County, CA	Livermore, CA	Salinas, CA	Gunnison County, CO	North Liberty, IA	Marshfield, MA	Clinton County, MI
Alameda, CA	Lomita, CA	San Anselmo, CA	La Plata County, CO	Ada County, ID	Medford, MA	East Lansing, MI
Albany, CA	Los Altos Hills, CA	San Bruno, CA	Lafayette, CO	Blaine County, ID	Nantucket, MA	Ferndale, MI
Amador County, CA	Los Altos, CA	San Carlos, CA	Loveland, CO	Boise, ID	Natick, MA	Grand Rapids, MI
American Canyon, CA	Los Angeles, CA	San Diego, CA	Manitou Springs, CO	Hailey, ID	Newburyport, MA	Grand Traverse
Antioch, CA	Los Gatos, CA	San Francisco, CA	San Miguel County, CO	Ketchum, ID	Newton, MA	County, MI
Arcata, CA	Manhattan Beach, CA	San Joaquin County, CA	Westminster, CO	Moscow, ID	Northampton, MA	Traverse City, MI
Atherton, CA	Marin County, CA	San Jose, CA	Branford, CT	Sandpoint, ID	Pioneer Valley Planning	Blue Earth, MN
Belmont, CA	Martinez, CA	San Leandro, CA	Bridgeport, CT	Sun Valley, ID	Commission, MA	Dakota County, MN
Belvedere, CA	Mendocino	San Luis Obispo, CA	Essex, CT	Algonquin, IL	Pittsfield, MA	Duluth, MN
Benicia, CA	County, CA	San Mateo, CA	Stamford, CT	Carol Stream, IL	Provincetown, MA	Edina, MN
Berkeley, CA	Menlo Park, CA	San Rafael, CA	Stonington, CT	Chicago, IL	Reading, MA	Lake City, MN
Brisbane, CA	Mill Valley, CA	San Ramon, CA	Weston, CT	Elmhurst, IL	Salem, MA	Mahtomedi, MN
Burlingame, CA	Millbrae, CA	Santa Barbara, CA	Westport, CT	Lake Forest, IL	Shutesbury, MA	Minneapolis, MN
Calistoga, CA	Milpitas, CA	Santa Clara	Windham, CT	Northbrook, IL	Spencer, MA	Northfield, MN
Carson, CA	Monte Sereno, CA	County, CA	Windsor, CT	Oswego, IL	Springfield, MA	Oakdale, MN
Chico, CA	Monterey Park, CA	Santa Clara, CA	Washington, DC	Plainfield, IL	Waltham, MA	Roseville, MN
Chula Vista, CA	Monterey, CA	Santa Cruz	New Castle, DE	Rock Island, IL	Wellesley, MA	Saint Paul, MN
Cloverdale, CA	Moorpark, CA	County, CA	Boynton, FL	Springfield, IL	Williamstown, MA	Virginia, MN
Colma, CA	Moraga, CA	Santa Cruz, CA	Clearwater, FL	Urbana, IL	Winchester, MA	White Bear Lake, MN
Contra Costa, CA	Morgan Hill, CA	Santa Monica, CA	Cutler Bay, FL	Fort Wayne, IN	Worcester, MA	Columbia, MO
Corte Madera, CA	Morro Bay, CA	Santa Rosa, CA	Delray Beach, FL	Indianapolis, IN	Annapolis, MD	Creve Coeur, MO
Culver, CA	Napa County, CA	Saratoga, CA	Gainesville, FL	Muncie, IN	Baltimore, MD	Kansas, MO
Cupertino, CA	Napa, CA	Solana Beach, CA	Key West, FL	South Bend, IN	Bowie, MD	Olivette, MO
Daly City, CA	Nevada City, CA	Solano County, CA	Leon County, FL	Lawrence, KS	Carroll County, MD	St. Louis, MO
Danville, CA	Newark, CA	Sonoma County, CA	Marathon, FL	Mission, KS	Chestertown, MD	University City, MO
Davis, CA	Novato, CA	Sonoma, CA	Miami, FL	Overland Park, KS	Chevy Chase, MD	Meridian, MS
Dublin, CA	Oakland, CA	South Gate, CA	Miami-Dade County, FL	Prairie Village, KS	College Park, MD	Bozeman, MT
East Palo Alto, CA	Oakley, CA	South San Francisco, CA	Monroe County, FL	Frankfort, KY	Gaithersburg, MD	Helena, MT
El Cerrito, CA	Orinda, CA	Stockton, CA	Naples, FL	Lexington-Fayette	Howard County, MD	Missoula, MT
El Paso de Robles, CA	Pacific Grove, CA	Temecula, CA	North Miami, FL	Urban County	Hyattsville, MD	Asheville, NC
El Segundo, CA	Pacifica, CA	Tiburon, CA	Orange County, FL	Government, KY	Montgomery County, MD	Buncombe County, NC
Emeryville, CA	Palo Alto, CA	Torrance, CA	Orlando, FL	Louisville-Jefferson	Mount Rainier, MD	Carrboro, NC
Eureka, CA	Palos Verdes, CA	Union City, CA	Pinellas County, FL	County Metro	Prince George's	Cary, NC
Fairfax, CA	Petaluma, CA	Ventura, CA	Sarasota County, FL	Government, KY	County, MD	Chapel Hill, NC
Fort Bragg, CA	Piedmont, CA	Visalia, CA	Sarasota, FL	Owensboro, KY	Queen Anne's	Charlotte, NC
Foster City, CA	Pinole, CA	Walnut Creek, CA	South Daytona, FL	New Orleans, LA	County Board of	Durham, NC
Fremont, CA	Pittsburg, CA	Watsonville, CA	Tampa, FL	Amherst, MA	Commissioners, MD	Orange County, NC

## ...THESE THINGS REALLY ARE HAPPENING!

Raleigh, NC	Albuquerque, NM	Oneonta, NY	Clackamas County, OR	Gatlinburg, TN	Harrisonburg, VA	Port Townsend, WA
Winston-Salem, NC	Cimarron, NM	Orangetown, NY	Corvallis, OR	Knoxville, TN	Norfolk, VA	SeaTac, WA
Grand Forks, ND	Santa Fe, NM	Ossining, NY	Eugene, OR	Nashville, TN	Roanoke County, VA	Seattle, WA
Hastings, NE	Silver City, NM	Red Hook, NY	Hillsboro, OR	Oak Ridge, TN	Roanoke, VA	Sequim, WA
Dover, NH	Taos, NM	Riverhead, NY	Lake Oswego, OR	Signal Mountain, TN	Warrenton, VA	Shoreline, WA
Epping, NH	Las Vegas, NV	Rochester, NY	Lincoln, OR	Arlington, TX	Brattleboro, VT	Snohomish County, WA
Gilford, NH	Washoe County, NV	Rockland County, NY	McMinnville, OR	Austin, TX	Burlington, VT	Spokane, WA
Keene, NH	Albany, NY	Rye, NY	Milwaukie, OR	College Station, TX	Montpelier, VT	Tacoma, WA
Nashua, NH	Babylon, NY	Saratoga Springs, NY	Portland, OR	Coppell, TX	Bainbridge Island, WA	Tukwila, WA
Plymouth, NH	Bedford, NY	Somers, NY	Haverford Township, PA	Dallas, TX	Bellevue, WA	Tumwater, WA
Portsmouth, NH	Brighton, NY	Southampton Village, NY	Lower Makefield, PA	Denton, TX	Bellingham, WA	Vancouver, WA
Rochester, NH	Clarkstown, NY	Southampton, NY	Meadville, PA	Edinburg, TX	Bothell, WA	Washougal, WA
Southwest Region, NH	Cooperstown, NY	Syracuse, NY	Mt. Lebanon, PA	El Paso, TX	Burien, WA	Whatcom County, WA
Belmar, NJ	Cortlandt, NY	Tarrytown, NY	Narberth, PA	Grapevine, TX	Clallam County, WA	Ashland, WI
Galloway, NJ	Croton on Hudson, NY	Tompkins, NY	Nether Providence	Houston, TX	Coupeville, WA	Fitchburg, WI
Hamilton, NJ	Dobbs Ferry, NY	Westchester, NY	Township, PA	Plano, TX	Edmonds, WA	Madison, WI
Kearny, NJ	Greenburgh, NY	Woodstock, NY	Philadelphia, PA	Richardson, TX	Everett, WA	Marshfield, WI
Maplewood, NJ	Hastings-on-Hudson, NY	Yonkers, NY	Pittsburgh, PA	Park City, UT	Ferndale, WA	Milwaukee, WI
Meadowlands, NJ	Huntington, NY	Yorktown, NY	Radnor, PA	Salt Lake City, UT	Issaquah, WA	Oshkosh, WI
Montgomery Township, NJ	Ithaca, NY	Akron, OH	Upper Dublin	Salt Lake County, UT	Jefferson County, WA	Racine, WI
Newark, NJ	Larchmont, NY	Alliance, OH	Township, PA	Abingdon, VA	King County, WA	Fayetteville, WV
North Brunswick, NJ	Mamaroneck, NY	Athens, OH	West Chester, PA	Albemarle County, VA	Kirkland, WA	Jackson, WY
Parsippany-Troy	Mt. Kisko, NY	Cincinnati, OH	Providence, RI	Alexandria, VA	Lake Forest Park, VA	Teton County, WY
Hills, NJ	Nassau County, NY	Cleveland, OH	Charleston, SC	Altavista, VA	Langley, VA	
Passaic County, NJ	New Castle, NY	Oberlin, OH	Columbia, SC	Arlington County, VA	Lynnwood, WA	
Somerset County, NJ	New Paltz, NY	Norman, OK	Greenville, SC	Blacksburg, VA	Mercer Island, WA	
Trenton, NJ	New Rochelle, NY	Ashland, OR	Spartanburg, SC	Charlottesville, VA	Oak Harbor, WA	
West Windsor	New York, NY	Beaverton, OR	Sumter, SC	Falls Church, VA	Olympia, WA	
Township, NJ	North Castle, NY	Bend, OR	Chattanooga, TN	Frederick County, VA	Pierce County, WA	

### **ACTION TO TAKE:**

ICLEI is one of the most dangerous forces in the nation, particularly because so few people know of their existence. But your mayor and city council know. They are in regular meetings with them. They get memos on policy. They are told what to support and who to silence. What they fear most is exposure. Now, we can turn the tables on ICLEI by shining a bright spotlight on their activities in your community.

**FIRST**, go to a city council meeting and listen to the discussion. Are they talking about land use, water control, development control? Are they using the language I have described in this issue? If so, Sustainable Development is ruling your community.

**SECOND**, begin to ask questions. You may have to do it after the meeting, but if you can, ask during... Ask where these policies they are discussing come from. Ask if your community is now paying dues to a group called the International Council for Local Environmental Initiatives (ICLEI). Once you have determined that ICLEI is truly in your community, then the battle can begin. Remember, the list of ICLEI cities on this page came directly from the ICLEI web page – so you already know they are there.

**THIRD**, **do not** begin to discuss “UN” policies. Instead, keep your discussion on a local level, as in how such policies may impact local citizens.

**FOURTH**, I have enclosed a petition in this package. **Do not sign it and mail it back to American Policy Center.** Make copies of this petition, which calls on your city council to stop paying dues to ICLEI and to remove them from policy making in your community. Pass out your copies and get as many citizens as possible to sign it. Make copies of this issue of *The DeWeese Report* to educate your neighbors on the dangers of Sustainable Development. **Deliver the signed petitions to City Council and cause a firestorm of protest if they ignore you. And do not stop until ICLEI and Sustainable Development are removed from your community. REMOVE ICLEI - RESTORE THE REPUBLIC.**



# The Four Part Process Leading to Sustainable Development

*By Tom DeWeese*

So how is this wrenching transformation being put into place? There are four very specific routes being used.

In the rural areas it's called the Wildlands Project. In the cities it's called smart growth. In business it's called Public/Private Partnerships. And in government it's called stakeholder councils and non-elected boards and regional government.

The Wildlands Project was the brainchild of Earth First's Dave Foreman and it literally calls for the "re-wilding" of 50% of all the land in every state – back to the way it was before Christopher Columbus set foot on this land.

It is a diabolical plan to herd humans off the rural lands and into human settlements. Crazy you say! Yes. Impossible? Not so fast.

From the demented mind of Foreman, the plan became the blueprint for the UN's Biodiversity Treaty. So now the scheme is international in scope.

But how do you remove people from the land? One step at a time. Let's begin with a biosphere reserve. A national park will do. A huge place where there is no human activity. How about Yellowstone National Park? Then you establish a buffer zone around the reserve. Inside the buffer only limited human activity is allowed. Slowly, you squeeze until you squash that human activity.

Once accomplished, you extend the area of the biosphere to the limits of the former buffer area – and then

you create a new buffer zone around the now larger biosphere and start the process over again. In that way, the Biosphere Reserve acts like a cancer cell, ever expanding, until all human activity is stopped.

And there are many tools in place to stop human activity and grow the reserve.

Push back livestock's access to riverbanks on ranches; 300 feet ought to do it. When the cattle can't reach the stream, the rancher can't water them -- he goes out of business. Lock away natural resources by creating national parks. It shuts down the mines -- and they go out of business. Invent a Spotted Owl shortage and pretend it can't live in a forest where timber is cut. Shut off the forest. Then, when no trees are cut, there's nothing to feed the mills and then there are no jobs, and -- they go out of business.

Locking away land cuts the tax base. Eventually the town dies. Keep it up and there is nothing to keep the people on the land – so they head to the cities. The wilderness grows – just like Dave Foreman planned.

It comes in many names and many programs. Heritage areas, land management, wolf and bear reintroduction, rails to trails, conservation easements, open space, and many more. Each of these programs is designed to make it just a little harder to live on the land – a little more expensive – a little more hopeless. Now tell me how they can deny that the process is herding

people into human habitat areas?

In the West, where vast areas of open space make it easy to impose such policies there are several programs underway to remove humans from the land. Today, there are at least 31 Wildlands projects underway, locking away more than 40 percent of the nation's land. The Alaska Wildlands Project seeks to lock away and control almost the entire state. In Washington State, Oregon, Idaho, Montana, parts of North and South Dakota, parts of California, Arizona, Nevada, New Mexico, Wyoming, Texas, Utah, and more there are at least 22 Wildlands Projects underway. For example, one project called Yukon to Yellowstone (Y2Y) – creates a 2000 mile no-man's land corridor from the Arctic to Yellowstone.

East of the Mississippi, there are at least nine Wildlands projects, covering Maine, Pennsylvania, New York, West Virginia, Ohio, Virginia, Tennessee, North and South Carolina, Georgia and Florida. Watch for names of Wildlands Projects like Chesapeake Bay Watershed, Appalachian Restoration Project and Piedmont Wildlands Project.

The **second** path is called Smart Growth. After they herd you into the city, they have more plans for you in regimented and dense urban communities.

They put a line around the city and tell you no growth can take place outside that line. Urban sprawl, they say disdainfully. They

refuse to build more roads as a ploy to get you out of your car into public transportation, restricting mobility.

Because there is a restriction on space inside the controlled city limits there is a shortage of houses, so prices go up. That means populations will have to be controlled, because now there is a shortage of land.

Cities are now passing “green” regulations, forcing homeowners to meet strict guidelines for making their homes environmentally compliant, using specific building materials, forcing roof replacements, demanding replacement of appliances, and more. In Oakland, California, such restrictions with compliance demanded in just a matter of a few years will cost each homeowner an estimated \$36,000. The Cap-N-Trade bill contains a whole section on such restrictions for the nation.

**Third**, inside the human habitat areas, government is controlled by an elite ruling class called stake holder councils.

These are mostly non-governmental organizations, or NGOs, who, like thieves in the night, just show up to stake their claim to enforce their own private agendas. The function of legitimate government within the system will be simply to enforce the dictates of the councils.

The councils are unelected, but all powerful. They are controlled by a small minority in the community, but they are all powerful. They will make you ask permission (usually denied) for anything necessary to live in the community.

They destroy business. They dictate the number of outlets a business may have in a community, no matter

what the population demands. For example, in San Francisco there can only be seven McDonalds. Period.

They can dictate the kind of building materials you can use in your home – or whether you can build on your property at all. Then, if they do grant a permit for building, they might not decide to let you acquire water and electricity for your new home – and they may or may not give you a reason for being turned down.

They can dictate that you get the proper exercise – as determined by the government. Again, San Francisco is building a new federal building – the greenest ever built. The elevators will only stop on every third floor so riders are forced to use stairs – for their own health, of course.

These councils fit almost perfectly the definition of a State Soviet; a system of councils that report to an apex council and then implement a predetermined outcome. Soviets are the operating mechanism of a government-controlled economy.

The **fourth** path is Public/Private Partnerships. Today, many freedom organizations are presenting PPPs as free enterprise and a private answer for keeping taxes down by using business to make a better society.

In truth, many PPPs are nothing more than government-sanctioned monopolies in which a few businesses are granted special favors like tax breaks, the power of eminent domain, non-compete clauses and specific guarantees for return on their investments.

That means they can charge what they want and they can use the power of government to put competition out of business. That is not free enterprise. And it is these global corporations

that are pushing the green agenda.

For example, using government to ban its own product, General Electric is forcing the mercury-laden green light bulb on you, costing 5 times the price of incandescent bulbs. Such is the reality of green industry.

PPPs are building the Trans Texas Corridor, using eminent domain to take more than 580,000 acres of private land - sanctioned by the partnership with the Texas government. And PPPs are taking over highways and local water treatment plants in communities across the nation. PPPs controlling the water can control water consumption – a major part of the Sustainable Development blueprint.

The North American Free Trade Agreement (NAFTA) is the root of the “Free Trade” process and the fuel for PPPs between international corporations and government, thereby creating an “elite” class of “connected” businesses – or what Ayn Rand called “the power of pull.” Success in the PPP world is not based on quality of product and service, but on who you know in high places. To play ball in the PPP game means accepting the mantra of Sustainable Development and helping to implement it, even if it means going against your own product. That’s why Home Depot uses its commercials to oppose cutting down trees and British Petroleum advocates reducing the use of oil.

It is not free enterprise, but a Mussolini-type fascism that will only lead to tyranny. And it’s all driven by the Agenda 21 blueprint of Sustainable Development.

# INSIDER'S REPORT

## The Latest Scam to Impose Sustainable Development

### Government Control Goes That Extra Mile

By Henry Lamb

Sara was late for work. The alarm clock didn't alarm, the kids were unusually slow getting ready for school, and nothing went right. She finally got to her car – a brand new 2020 Chevy Adventure. She touched the fingerprint secured start button. Nothing. It wouldn't start. She touched it again. Nothing. Furious, she banged the steering wheel with her fist. Then she noticed the paper hanging from the receipt printer on the dash.

“Your designated visa account rejected your Road Use Tax in the amount of \$87.32 for the month of June, 2020. You must insert a valid account card to activate your automobile.”

It's coming. With a \$16 million grant from the federal government, the University of Iowa is developing a Global Positioning Satellite system that can measure the mileage, apply a variable tax rate that will increase during rush hours and in high-traffic areas, calculate the total, charge a designated account card, and shut down your automobile if unpaid when due. Some 2,700 automobiles in five states will be used in the test.

The system has been under development for more than a decade. The concept was proven in a similar, but smaller test in Oregon two years ago. The new tax system is being designed to replace the outdated by-the-gallon tax. Government mandated higher-mileage requirements result in less tax revenue for all governments. Hybrid and all-electric cars contribute little or nothing to road tax revenues.

The new by-the-mile tax system will give government much more than a new tax collection mechanism; it will give government much greater control over everyone.

The new GM – Government Motors – can install this new system in all of its vehicles. All that's needed is an instruction from the car czar. Automakers that have not yet been taken over by the government can be required to install the system quite easily, by regulation or legislation. With such a system in every vehicle, the government can have virtual control over the population.

Purchase of a vehicle will give the government a

database containing the name and residence location of every automobile owner. Since the system has the ability to record and track the geographic location of the vehicle at every moment of the day or night – only for the purpose of applying the correct tax rate, of course – government can know where your vehicle is at any moment.

Frightened yet? This is not hocus-pocus conspiracy-theory nonsense. The National Surface Transportation Infrastructure Financing Commission has unanimously endorsed the scheme. A past president of the Association of State Highway and Transportation Officials says several states have considered not waiting for the federal government and will implement this system within their states. It is on the horizon and currently targeted for implementation by 2020.

This system will give the government the power to know your every movement. Where does the Constitution authorize the government to exercise this power? How does the exercise of this power square with the Constitution's Fourth Amendment guarantee of a right to privacy? Does anybody care?

Privacy concerns have kept the project out of the public spotlight, but not out of the lab. As the project matures, there will be the obligatory lip-service to “prohibiting” the government from using the capability to invade individual privacy. “Assurances” will be mouthed, from both the administration and the Congress, that the rights of individuals will be protected. And the program will move forward.

Imagine this scenario: a police station in Podunk, New Jersey gets a silent alarm that a bank robbery is in progress at the corner of 4th Avenue and Main Street. The chief radios the regional Road Tax Monitoring Center, and immediately, every vehicle in the vicinity is disabled. The police arrive at the scene to find the robbers sitting in their vehicle banging on the steering wheel of an automobile that won't start. The press lauds this wonderful new technology.

Now imagine this scenario: a detective knocks on your

**GOVERNMENT CONTROL...** *(Cont'd from pg 10)*

door. "Your vehicle was parked on 2nd Avenue Saturday evening between 10:32 and 11:15 pm. Why?"

"It's none of your business," you protest.

You are informed that there was a crime committed in the neighborhood and until you can explain why you were there, you are a suspect. You are in the position of having to prove your innocence rather than the state having to prove your guilt.

There is no end to the mischief that government can impose upon the people with this system. Government could control when and where people go simply by adjusting the tax rate. Government could force people to use public transportation, by adjusting the tax rate. Government could deactivate vehicles as a form of

punishment for unpaid speeding or parking tickets. Government could easily dictate the type of vehicle you drive, simply by adjusting the tax rate on GM vehicles.

Those who think these scenarios are far-fetched have not been watching what the federal government has been doing, especially since the new Democratic socialists have taken control of Washington. It's going to take more than tea parties to prevent this "change" that is being imposed. It's going to take a determined electorate to throw the bums out!

---

*Henry Lamb is the author of "The Rise of Global Governance," chairman of Sovereignty International and founder of the Environmental Conservation Organization (ECO) and Freedom21 Inc..*

**CONSERVATION...** *(Cont'd from pg 12)*

converting private property to federal. The existence of a conservation easement only enhances the Federal Government efforts to permanently lock up more and more land and natural resources. Thus the new scheme and strategy which evolved has united large land owners, the Nature Conservancy and the Federal government in land acquisition programs which can be applied by states in addition to Montana.

Large property owners such as ranchers and timber land owners are being enticed to place property under conservation easement often receiving in return thousands of dollars in tax benefits in return for the reduced land value. Then the property under easement is purchased by the (non-profit, non-taxpaying) land trust at the reduced value; and can immediately resell to the federal government at considerable profit. The land trust acting as the real estate agent puts together the financial package. The American taxpayer unknowingly picks up the tab for the entire operation at both ends by financing both the tax

write-off and the governmental land purchase. Meanwhile the tax base of the county is reduced. In essence our citizens are financing the buy-out of what is left of our nation's private property all in the name of "sustainability" and proclaimed protection of our "open space" and "wilderness" dedicated to wildlife. If this practice continues with ongoing loss of our country's private property throughout the West and Middle-west we are destined to evolve into a totalitarian form of government.

Our country is now experiencing a third major historical shift of land ownership, its uses, resources and wealth. Only two other events in history were of equal significance: the railroad land acquisition of the nation's early days and the Homestead Act. The current episode could constitute a complete change in our form of government which, through the Constitution, is founded upon private property rights of citizens and is designed to protect our freedoms and way of life. The current appeal is innocuous, the tactics subtle verging on fraudulent, and the results

inevitable, unless property owners awaken and resist the poison bait. Hopefully our government and legal system, in the interests of our citizens can, in sufficient time, develop protective measures to control and overcome this threatening land grab scheme. Meanwhile we must depend upon caution, restraint and common sense of informed property owners.

An Open Space program was proudly initiated by the US. Forest Service in 2006 for immediate implementation without having to go through Congress. Their promotional publication "Cooperating across Boundaries, Partnerships to Conserve Open Space in Rural America., FS-861, published August 2006 is available through:

Phone: 202-401-7784

[www.fs.fed.us/projects/four-threats](http://www.fs.fed.us/projects/four-threats)

<http://directives.sc.egov.usda.gov>

---

*Clarice Ryan is a member of the Board of Directors for Montanans For Multiple Use - [www.mtmultipleuse.org](http://www.mtmultipleuse.org).*



# SPOTLIGHT ON TYRANNY

## Conservation Easements are a Sustainable Development Land Grab

By Clarice Ryan

One of the prime targets of the Sustainability movement is the acquisition and control of private property of this country and the natural resources contained. The Conservation Easement provides the tools, techniques and motivations to legally wrest the land from its owners and transfer it to the federal government supposedly preserving it for future generations. Psychological and economic tactics being used are subtle with long-term objectives seldom identified by property owners. They are led to believe they are helping popular environmental efforts, while at the same time being provided personal financial benefits and security. Some are even awarded public recognition through the media for their noble, unselfish "contribution". Unknowingly they have essentially become victims of legalized fraud. They have enabling NGOs such as The Nature Conservancy to become wealthy in the billions while the land they have committed in perpetuity is doomed to Federal ownership.

Are you about to sign a conservation easement contract? Please hold off until you read this. Your signature will, in essence, split the estate on your property. You are releasing to a land trust, the controlled "use" of the land and development rights, while you retain title to the "land" and remain responsible for all costs of ownership . . . FOREVER. It will be virtually impossible for you,

your heirs or a purchaser, regardless of circumstance, to over-ride this contract agreement

Differences of opinion with the land trust concerning interpretation of contract terms may eventually lead you to seek court settlement. Unfortunately you, the owner of the land, are committed to bearing all court costs if you lose, which in all likelihood you will. Furthermore, they you can take you to court if they decide you are not adequately meeting contract obligations. You will bear all legal costs including their high priced attorneys. And if your current friendly land trust shows too much leniency, another more demanding third party agency can step in, take over and rule with a firmer hand possibly in the interests of "the environment".

For various reasons including financial difficulty or family disagreements, you or your heirs may attempt to sell all or part of the property. The contract prevents dividing or selling off portions. Prospective buyers are limited for easement encumbered land; the larger the acreage the more limited. Basically it is hard to get rid of.

By law the conservation easement can only be extinguished when the entity holding the easement (the land trust) becomes the full owner of the property, both the land and its controlled use. The friendly land trust will probably be happy to purchase your land at the greatly

reduced value. However, once purchased and with the easement restriction removed this non-profit, non-taxpaying land trust it is legally free to resell at high market value of adjoining property. Or they can develop subdivisions; perform timber harvest, lumber mills, mining, oil drilling, and whatever. . . at a profit.

All of this, of course is in direct conflict with your original noble intent when you donated the conservation easement. Sadly the idealistic, worthy causes you had envisioned through the land trust, such as environmental protection, habitat for wildlife, open space, rural living, protection against urban sprawl, will no longer exist except as they may be initiated and enforced by environmental agencies at your expense.

In Montana a recently passed state statute eliminated the nullifying of the perpetual easement contract through land purchase by the land trust, which had virtually eliminated the appeal for them to acquire CE encumbered property... The resale potential for Montana land trusts, especially on large tracts of land, became virtually nil. However, a sales strategy evolved which is now easily utilized by land trusts throughout the country. One prospective purchaser of large scale easement lands remains, the Federal Government. This coincides well with the ever increasing pressures of the environmental movement to increase wilderness regions and great expanses of open space by *(Cont'd on page 11)*